#### A WEEKLY COMMENTARY



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION





The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance -

Print Post Publication Number 100000815

Vol. 52 No. 10	11 <sup>th</sup> March 2016
IN THIS ISSUE	
Truth is There to be Revealed	1
Racial Gender Laws as Pawns in the Destruction of Civilisation by Mrs Vera West	2
The Kiwis Having Their Say - Lifting the Veil on Debt Slavery by Bill Daly	3
The Predatory TPP by Richard Miller	4
Cashless Society Threatens our Privacy by Sen. Cory Bernardi	5
Political Correctness Suppression: The Logical Consequence of MultiCulturalism by Ian Wilson LL.B.	6

**THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK:** "Can we ring the bells backwards? Can we unlearn the arts that pretend to civilise, and then burn the world? There is a march of Science; but who shall beat the drums for its retreat?" - Charles Lamb

It is, we believe, a profound mistake to speak any longer of 'Science' as a single, uncomplicated entity. Throughout history there have been two 'Sciences', one animated by the desire to dis-cover what is in nature, and all that is in, or if possible, even above nature, the other animated by the desire to impose man's will on nature, a desire which is ultimately doomed to frustration.

- The Social Crediter, Vol 33 No 10 May 28 1955

#### TRUTH IS THERE TO BE REVEALED

The fundamental assumption of Social Credit is that Society has a metaphysical basis; or, put differently, that Society is a manifestation of spiritual activity. The character of any historic period is determined, not by contemporary thought, but by that of some preceding period.

The present materialistic Age was determined by the thought of such as Darwin, Freud and Marx; by 'Rationalism'; by the type of mind that could believe that "the brain secretes mind as the liver secretes bile."

The new age, if enough of this present civilisation survives to see it, will once again manifest the reality of the Spirit. This concept was so well illustrated in a profound address delivered to The College of Radiologists of Australasia by Dr. I. R. Darling, Headmaster of Geelong Grammar School, Victoria. It was published in The Medical Journal of Australia, April 16, 1955, under the title "On Looking Beneath the Surface of Things." (following is an abridged version...ed)

"A multiplicity of new facts in every field has tended to obscure all sight of principle, and the advance of knowledge upon its frontiers has almost made excessive specialisation imperative. If it is part of the art of living to see life clearly and to see it whole, this age of specialisation makes living a very difficult art. It is, of course, no new problem. Ever since man first began to study natural phenomena he has been obsessed by the difficulty of making general sense of all the discoveries which he made.

The ancient Greek philosophers sought for some single binding principle from which it might be said all else sprung. But the Greeks must be right. The scientist can no more deny or devaluate the truths of spiritual experience than the theologian can neglect the truths of science; and the two truths must be reconcilable, and it must be of importance to each of us that they should be reconciled.

Fortunately there lies between the two extremes and stretches over the gulf of division a bridge - or would you prefer to call it a rainbow (for a rainbow combines in it something of both worlds)? - the bridge of the arts, music, painting, poetry, those strange emanations of the evolutionary process.

For truth is there to be revealed, as all scientists know; and the seeing of the truth is a discovery, not an invention. It may well be that it is on the common meeting ground of art and music and poetry that scientist and theologian may meet to compose their differences.

I am suggesting that it is to the idea of purpose in all things that we must return, if we are to discover unity in the midst of variety. I should like to be allowed to come back to the possibility that solution lies quite simply in what is known as the teleological conception of evolution." (continued on next page)

#### **Definition of teleology**

- 1 a: the study of evidences of design in nature, b: a doctrine (as in vitalism) that ends are immanent in nature,
  c: a doctrine explaining phenomena by final causes
- 2: the fact or character attributed to nature or natural processes of being directed toward an end or shaped by a purpose
- 3: the use of design or purpose as an explanation of natural phenomena

**Source:** www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/teleology

\_\_\_\_\_

# RACIAL, GENDER LAWS AS PAWNS IN THE DESTRUCTION OF CIVILISATION by Mrs Vera West

Part of my title comes from Cornelia Ferreira's talk: "Women: Pawns in the Destruction of Civilisation", which deals with how feminism has played into the hands of the globalist class. There is a text published "Feminism: Russia's Deadly Weapon Against the Family", dealing in part with how feminism was used by the Soviet elite, to build the Eastern version of early proto-one world government, the USSR. The lessons for the West, already well advanced down this path, will be obvious to readers.

It was Russian revolutionary V. Lenin (1870-1924) who said: "Destroy the family, you destroy the country". In other words, applied across the world, the existing social order can be imploded if the family, the building block of society, is wrecked. Ferreira reminds us that *The Communist Manifesto* of Marx and Engels called for the abolition of the traditional family (mum, dad and the children), along with religion, as ways of destroying the Christian Social Order. It is the Christian family which builds the church, so if one's aim was to destroy Christianity, they have to attack the family.

Pope Pius XII said, that "the nation... has always drawn its strength, its increase, its honour from the healthy and virtuous family. If this is attacked in its religious and moral foundations, the way is open to the worst possible harm to social institutions, and to the nation itself". (Woman in the Modern World, April 24, 1943, p.106).

He noted that "Communism is particularly characterised by the rejection of any link that binds women to the family and the home, and her emancipation is proclaimed as a basic principle".

Ferreira sees the West as "thoroughly Communistic", because the family, through the "corruption of women" has been minimised. Equality has replaced divinely-appointed hierarchy in the philosophy of ultraliberalism that has herded women from the family into the workforce. Marxists are supposedly critical of the capitalist system for treating workers as wage slaves, but feminists are happy that women have become wage slaves and see this as "emancipation".

Christian morality is also directly attacked by the "new morality" of globalist liberalism (= Western communism).

Ferreira observes that "all the assaults on marriage and the family - divorce and re-marriage, cohabitation, perverse sexuality, sex education, artificial procreation, pornography, prostitution, family planning, abortion, unmarried motherhood - all these are assaults on the moral teachings of the Church. They constitute a spiritual persecution because they weaken the faith".

While I could go on in great detail there is probably no need as traditionalists understand the acidic role that feminism has played, well. What then about the cultural significance of other aspects of the "gender agenda" such as the "Safe Schools" program in Victoria?

The "Safe Schools" program, which aims to be compulsory in Victoria by 2019 aims to teach children as young as 11 years about sexual orientation, homosexual and transgender issues, in a taxpayer-funded program "written by gay activists". (*The Australian*, February 10, 2016, p.1). Senator Bob Day has described this as a "gay lifestyle promotion program". (*The Australian*, February 16, 2016, p.3)

The Safe Schools Coalition teaching guide says that teachers should "try to work out ways to integrate gender diversity and sexual diversity across the curriculum". The manual says that even asking parents if a baby is a boy or a girl "reinforces a hetero-normative world view".

There are two excellent articles by Angela Shanahan on this: "Bully Message Lost in all the Rainbow Flag-Waving", (*The Weekend Australian*, February 13-14, 2016, p.22) and "Parents the Best Judge of their Kids' Sex Education Needs", (*The Weekend Australian*, February 27-28, 2016, p.24). She links the agenda of the program up with the push for same-sex marriage: "If same-sex marriage becomes legal it will be difficult for any schools to remain aloof from the encroachments of the lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender and intersex lobby's education policies, including the Safe Schools program". *(continued on next page)* 

(continued from previous page) "These types of sex education programmes have been adopted in other parts of the world following on from same-sex marriage, including in The Netherlands, Britain, Ireland, parts of the United States and recently Alberta, Canada".

In other words, expect "radical gender laws" and probably a new round of anti-vilification laws, if the referendum returns a "yes" on the gay marriage issue.

As well, the view of the elites is that teachers are the people best placed to teach children about morals - well, at least "the new morality". Teachers are to be the new parents, in advancing, as Shanahan puts it, the "LGBTI education agenda".

I hope you are homeschooling your children!

Jennifer Oriel ("The Left Falls into Queer Extremists' Trap", *The Australian*, March 2, 2016, p.12) argues that the Safe Schools program is "part of a Marxist social change strategy" or "queer politics", "the belief that sexual minorities are at the forefront of creating the sexual revolution". This explains, neatly, why the Socialist and Communist types, and even garden variety leftoids, are defending the Safe schools program as if it is a sacred text of... well, say, Karl Marx!

#### THE KIWIS HAVING THEIR SAY:

Henry Makow is another who seems to be waking up from his slumber and is realising just what a tangled financial web of debt he and the rest of the world are caught in.

"Everything you think you own has a hidden lien on it, and the banksters own the lien. Our currency takes the form of IOU's to the central ...(bank-ed)"

#### New Zealander Bill Daly wrote to Henry Makow:

Thanks Henry for your ongoing work. With regard to the article *Lifting the Veil on Our Debt Slavery* the biggest factor keeping us all in debt slavery is the widespread misunderstanding that money itself is wealth. It isn't, it never was, and never will be.

Money can only ever be a mere numbering or accounting system. Christ didn't say that money is the root of all evil, he said the love of Whenever love, real love, that recognises that every single human being is potentially creative in a myriad of ways and capable of reflecting God's love is allowed expression it enhances life.

Whenever hatred and lies dominate we experience aspects of hell on earth, such as debt slavery.

money was. This was a pointed condemnation of giving to money as a mere numbering system a status and belief that it doesn't warrant.

If I have a certain weight of butter, for example, say a pound (about 500 grams), and it's sale price is \$2, the real wealth does not reside in the \$2, or 500 grams or one pound of weight measurement. The wealth resides in the butter. The rest is only measurements established for

I would respectfully suggest that it is about time that ordinary people opposed to all of this took a stand. For one, write to Malcolm Turnbull now and voice your opposition. Vote "No" in any forthcoming referendum put up by the new class elites. No, no, no to everything. Don't trust the ruling elites.

Wouldn't it also be nice if traditionalist folk for once opposed the knee-jerk demonstrations always held by Communist-style groups on these issues, typically radiating from the universities?

Sexuality and social control - yes, but it is by the Agenda. Parents haven't been given a say and were never consulted precisely because there would have been opposition to the "Agenda".

It is utterly wrong to attempt to push gender agenda issues, "whatever the subject".

This is propaganda for a political position, not education. Doing all of this under the guise of anti-bullying merely proves all that has been said here. Who are the bullies?

How is that letter to Malcolm Turnbull going?

#### LIFTING THE VEIL ON DEBT SLAVERY

convenience of production and trade or distribution.

Arguments advocating that money be based on a socalled precious metal are appallingly silly. What if a country had lots of productive capacity and a population requiring that production but no gold or silver. Should everyone just sit down and weep and die of starvation and the cold. It is an insult to the enormous physical abundance provided by God for anyone to argue that because there is a shortage of numbers (money in this case) we cannot all enjoy a high degree of material security.

The sensible solution is to first grasp that modern

money is just a computer generated numbering or accounting system. For the production and distribution systems to function well in any society there

must always be an equilibrium between the prices (i.e. the monetary 'costs') that have been generated in the production and distribution systems and the purchasing power required to be in the hands of consumers, which is everyone.

The so-called problem of unemployment is a result of making use of modern labour-saving technology.

(continued on next page)

(continued from previous page)

In a sane society this should be counted as a blessing that is giving greater freedom to every individual. The suggestion of the Social Credit advocates is that knowledge gained and built upon over countless eons and which has given us modern technology is common property and should benefit all.

It is perfectly natural and sensible that the various components of the production system (the factories, farms, shops, etc) be owned and operated by those who are the most skilled and interested in such endeavour. But since the knowledge behind modern technology has largely passed into the common heritage and is thus common property it is just and right that everyone has access to the fruits of the production system. This is also a reflection of the non-earned physical gifts that the Creator has given to all of us.

The puritanical view that no-one should eat unless they have worked is always taken to extremes by those who reject the truth that the true God is a God of love and abundance in all things. This appalling lie dominates everything taught in the schools of economics and has allowed the destructive anti-human debt-banking system to grow into a cruel dictatorship.

As technology displaces labour in production it is perfectly sane and just that a "dividend" (a sort of earnings of the machines) be paid equally to every member of a society.

The process of calculating how much this should be has nothing to do with ethics or morals but is a matter of simple accountancy.

The so-called national debt is mere trickery, it is a confidence trick, an outright lie based on hatred of others. Behind this lie is the satanic plan to enslave everyone.

Whenever love, real love that recognises that every singe human being is potentially creative in a myriad of ways and capable of reflecting God's love, is allowed expression it enhances life. Whenever hatred and lies dominate we experience aspects of hell on earth, such as debt slavery.

## THE PREDATORY TPP by Richard Miller

Writing in the *Japan Times*, Dutch author Karel van Wolferen has outlined the corporate significance of the TPP with the title: "The Predators Behind the TPP". Cutting to the chase van Wolferen says that the Trans-Pacific Partnership is only superficially about trade and is really about corporate power, allowing corporations to "engage in conduct unchecked by national rules of the participating countries".

Originally, in the 1990s all this was to be done by the capitalist ruling class by the Multilateral Agreement on Investments (MAI) but this was buried under criticisms. Learning from the MAI, the TPP has been negotiated in secret so that the treaty was protected from criticism.

Van Wolferen says that the TPP was completely political "because it aims to change the power relations between transnational corporations and foreign governments. It is political because it will create patterns of colonial dependents through agricultural agreements. It is political because it seeks to place the governments of the participating countries under a kind of legal discipline that has nothing to do with the rights of citizens and everything to do with the ability of powerful corporations to become even stronger".

In particular the TPP will open up further, if that is possible, "financial products", removing any remaining regulations that controlled international financial transactions.

He says "This has permitted rent seekers and financial firms to become top predators. The TPP agreement will massively expand their hunting territory and give them fierce fangs in the bargain".

The TPP would outlaw capital controls and any future taxes on Wall Street speculation. The same toxic derivatives that led to the 2008 GFC would be freely circulating in the system.

Apart from finance the TPP will embrace most aspects of economic and social life and benefit institutions such as Big Pharma, dramatically increasing their oligopoly power. There will be a radically increasing duration before generic drugs will be permitted on the market, with the TPP having a strong stand on so-called "intellectual property".

Doctors Without Borders stated in a July 2015 press release that "the TPP agreement is on track to become the most harmful trade pact ever for access to medicines in developing countries".

Van Wolferen sees the key to the TPP being the United States. It is the keystone which could make the whole process unravel. He doesn't discuss how this could be stopped, but clearly the election of an economic nationalist or even a socialist would really put a spanner in the works. Let's hope so because this is way bigger than little Australia, and even Japan. It is the economic part of the New World Order; as one US Senator put it: "TPP ushers in a governance system that will supplant ours with its own rules for every aspect of global commerce accompanied by a new international regulatory structure to enforce these rules".

This may not exactly be 'Rule by the UN', but it is the globalist rule by a super class of capitalists, and maybe even more dangerous, if that is possible.

\*\*\*

**Ref:** http://www.japantimes.co.jp/news/2016/02/06/business/economy-business/predators-behind-tpp/#.VtfAwhhWvBA

### CASHLESS SOCIETY THREATENS OUR PRIVACY by Cory Bernardi

The *Sydney Morning Herald* reports this morning that Australia is 'on an unstoppable march towards a cashless future'; with the Assistant Minister to the Treasurer Alex Hawke MP saying that a move to a purely digital currency "will lead to countless benefits for all Australians in convenience and security, and will save billions in transaction costs every year".

He's correct that it will enhance convenience for many and will save government huge amounts of money but he omits one very important aspect of such a change – our right to privacy.

I have repeatedly warned over the years that the move to digital currencies will begin in Europe (it is already being trialled in Sweden) and spread throughout the world. The justifications will include those points made by the Assistant Minister but will soon include the 'need to fight the flow of funds to terror organisations'.

Whilst these may be noble and worthy aims, they are mere excuses. The real reason governments want to impose an all-digital transaction economy on us is so that no aspect of the financial system is beyond their scrutiny. To put it plainly, it's about taxes – and every government wants more of them. Governments have an insatiable hunger to gorge themselves on taxpayer dollars.

The political elite consider that they know how to spend your money much more effectively than you do and thus no level of taxation will ever fully sate the leviathan of government. There is always a new program, a new agenda or a new initiative that promises to be an amazing success if only enough money is thrown at it.

The evidence is quite the opposite and frankly, every year sees me come to the same conclusion: whenever the government steps in to fix a problem it ultimately creates an even greater one.

And so, as the unsustainability of the great socialist experiment of the welfare state becomes clearer by the day, governments across the world want to make sure that no transaction can escape their net.

They don't want cash kept under the mattress or buried in the back garden. They want you to be forced to keep it electronically in a bank where they can charge you for the privilege via negative interest rates.

A digital currency will mean no transaction, however small, can escape the tax demands of government.

Some readers will think this abundantly fair, and so it may be - if we could trust government to act in our interest instead of their own. However, there is an even more dangerous aspect to such a proposal.

The digital currency process will mean that almost every aspect of your financial privacy is gone. There will be a record of every dollar spent, every item purchased and every donation made. Is it really the government's business what food you purchase or what medicines you use? Seemingly simple daily choices could have a large impact on your life because every aspect of your spending data would be detailed for scrutiny.

It's not too far-fetched to imagine an application for health insurance to reference your spending history in relation to fast food, alcohol and tobacco. Your lack of gym membership could count against you in seeking medical treatment. Perhaps you'll be effectively blacklisted from employment opportunities because you donate money to a particular organisation.

In short, nothing would be beyond the examination of government. Any safeguards built in to the system would ultimately be diminished as the tentacles of government continue to expand into every aspect of our lives.

The best opportunity to prevent such expansion is at the very point of inception. The move to a digital currency is just getting started. If we are to safeguard against it, the time to act is now.

\*\*\*

No matter which political party is in power, CONTROL OF THE POPULATION is what this is all about!

Write to support Sen Cory Bernardi (address Parliament House, Canberra. ACT 2600)

for his article on a cashless society and encourage him for opposing the plan.

Nat Dir

Read more: http://www.theorganicprepper.ca/venezuela-enforces-fingerprint-registry-to-buy-groceries-what-to-do-before-rationing-starts-in-america-04022014 http://www.theorganicprepper.ca/venezuela-is-out-of-food-heres-what-an-economic-collapse-really-looks-like-02132016

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queen Street, Melbourne, 3000 Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9600 0677 Subscription \$45.00 p.a.

All electoral comment authorised by Ken Grundy, Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queen Street, Melbourne, 3000

#### RACE AND CONSTITUTIONAL RECOGNITION by Ian Wilson LL.B.

Chris Kenny ("Constitutional Recognition Carries Seeds of Reconciliation", The Weekend Australian, February 13-14, 2016, p.20), if I understand him, supports what is now known as the "Pearson Compromise" on the Aboriginal recognition referendum issue. This involves a mandated indigenous advisory body, by a constitutional amendment, which would require Australian governments to consider advice on matters affecting indigenous people from a special indigenous body. The elites are not sure exactly how the body will operate, but "details would be left to the legislative process".

Hence, according to Kenny this "is the way to enshrine an indigenous voice in the affairs of our nation". On the contrary, the Pearson proposal is a disaster.

It would set up a super-parliament, using the guilt of political correctness to rule on every issue - because every issue impacts on indigenous Australians.

Aborigines as Australians already have a voice, as we all do, in the affairs of this nation. It is called Parliament.

# POLITICAL CORRECTNESS SUPPRESSION: THE LOGICAL CONSEQUENCE OF MULTICULTURALISM by Ian Wilson LL.B.

Michael Sexton SC ("Curbs on Giving Offence Can Stifle Public Debate", *The Australian*, March 1, 2016, p.12) gives what I interpret to be one of the consequences of "diversity" in law, and ironically by implication a good refutation of Craig Laundy's (Assisstant Minister for multicultural affairs) defence of multiculturalism on the same page.

There is no reference by Sexton to Laundy or multiculturalism as such - this is solely my interpretation and construction, but I think that his argument can be constructed to reduce to an absurdity the diversity-withno-limit position, that is, as a logical implication of his position.

Sexton's point is that if a plebiscite on same-sex marriage was to take place, Tasmania's Anti-Discrimination Act could be used by people in same-sex relationships to argue that they were offended by a "No" campaign.

Thus "Laws that make it unlawful to offend various groups in the community inevitably stifle public debate on contentious social, economic and political issues. This is because some will always be offended if their lifestyles or views are criticised or disparaged".

As well, a referendum on indigenous recognition runs up against our old "friend" section 18C of the Racial Discrimination Act:

"It is certainly possible that arguments against amending the Constitution in this way for whatever reason might

be offensive to Aboriginal organisations involved in supporting a referendum".

This surely must be the ultimate absurdity, and I believe shows the Constitutional incoherence of these laws. It is implicit to the Constitutional idea of a referendum that it not be illegal to put the "No" case, but these politically correct laws of multiculturalism would make public expression, a crime. Hence, such laws are unconstitutional.

I believe this is the way to go in a constitutional challenge to section 18C, which can be seen to undermine even the democratic process.

Such laws violate every convention on human rights because they make free speech utterly impossible.

Laundy gives in his article the usual motherhood endorsements of "diversity" without considering any of the challenging issues of how multicultural relativism, which lies behind laws such as that embodied in section 18C, overwhelm Enlightenment liberal values such as freedom of speech.

He makes no attempt to address the previous critique of multiculturalism given by Janet Albrechtsen, merely superficially equating multiculturalism with ethnic diversity, without addressing any of the sorts of issues raised in this paper.

# MULTICULTURALISM? WE TOLD YOU SO! by James Reed

Janet Albrechtsen ("Multiculturalism has Proven Divisive, Not Coalescent, So Let's Ditch It", The Australian, February 24, 2016, p.12) has seen the light: "like bad 1970s fashion, multiculturalism needs to be binned".

The article is worth quoting because of its strength of criticism.

"We see that countries ruled by Islamic faith have

ON TARGET

cultures diametrically opposed to Enlightenment values. We see enclaves of Muslim migrants in Western countries keep practices at odds with those values. We are entitled to ask questions about the level of gender inequality among Muslims. We are entitled to ask why some young Muslim men choose Islamic State over Australia; why genital mutilation and child marriages happen in countries such as Britain and Australia".

11th March 2016 Page 6

(continued from previous page)

Yes, we should be able to ask such questions but the Multicultural State fears this and creates a culture of fear and legal sanctions to prevent it *(my position)*.

Albrechtsen speaks of the "multicultural virus": "Like a virus that takes hold of host cells in the human body, multiculturalism's self-loathing virus started invading Western societies more than 40 years ago like a form of cultural cancer, it has weakened our ability to defend our most fundamental values and, worse, it has meant the only culture open to critique and question is our own".

An example of this retreat from Enlightenment values is Tony Abbott's failure to defend the reform of section 18C of the Racial Discrimination Act once "a few migrant groups kicked up a fuss".

I like that turn of phrase.

I should note that even before Australian multicultural policy got started, US philosopher Robert Paul Wolff (*et al.*) *A Critique of Pure Tolerance* (1965) argued against the philosophy of pluralistic tolerance for "both as theory and practice, (it) simply does not acknowledge the possibility of wholesale reorganisation of the society.

By insisting on the group nature of society, it denies the existence of society-wide interests - save the purely procedural interest in preserving the system of group pressures - and the possibility of communal action in pursuit of the general good".

It is a pity that Australia followed the path it did of the "debilitating multicultural virus", as Albrechtsen puts it, rather than the way of the common good.

\*\*\*

#### THE GENTLE JOYS OF BEING A CONSPIRACY THEORIST by Chris Knight

CollapseNews.com (February 22, 2016) reports that conspiracy theorists tend to be more sensible than more conventional people. A 2013 study by psychologists "What about Building 7: A Social Psychological Study of Online Discussions of 9/11 Conspiracy Theories", compared conspiracy theorists, non-conspiratorial and conventional people.

It was found that most people commenting on News Websites left conspiratorial comments. Could it be that conspiratorial people are more motivated to leave comments, being more "aggressive"? In fact, it was found that the anti-conspiratorial commenters were more "aggressive" in trying to convert people to their views. They were seen as fanatically committed to their positions.

Thus, being a conspiracy theorist may well be a sign of mental health and critical rationality.

Haven't we been saying that for decades?

\*\*\*

# MAKING THINGS MATTER by Viv Forbes

Recent headlines exulted:

"Real estate makes bigger profits than mining". This is an omen, not a signal to celebrate. In peacetime, societies may survive without making "things" providing they have valued skills, assets to sell or barter, or savings in sound currencies. But during military or financial crises, "things" may not be available unless they are made locally. Then we must rely on the primary producers of new wealth - miners, farmers, foresters, fishermen, engineers, builders, tradesmen and skilled workers.

Back in war-torn 1943, Australia had ration cards for petrol, food and clothing, and insufficient copper to make cartridges for our own defence.

Now, because of the war on carbon, Australia will soon have no oil refineries to produce fuel and lubricants for our tractors, ships, tanks and cars. Mines and baseload power plants are closing. There are fewer smelters and refineries producing steel, copper, aluminium, lead or zinc, and no factories making engines, rifles, ships,

planes, cars, trucks or dozers. Our children are more skilled in soft options and electronic gadgetry than in maths, science and engineering; too many despise trades, soldiering, farming and manual work.

This industrial decay will become obvious one still, dark, winter evening when wind turbines stand idle, solar panels have logged off, batteries are flat, and overloaded standby generators fail. There will be no power for trains, lifts, heaters, stoves, fridges, traffic lights, retail checkouts or electronic money.

With every addition of intermittent electricity (wind/solar), and every closure of reliable base-load power (coal), this blackout danger increases.

Real estate speculation, bureaucracy, parliamentary debates, games, entertainment and arts are froth on the river of basic industry where things are made.

That river is running dry. Making things does matter.

\*\*\*

#### IF THIS PROPOSAL IS APPROVED, CIVILISATION WILL BE REMOVED

Uncle Len Waxes Philosophical about Australia's Radioactive Future by Uncle Len Who Really Will Glow in the Dark

South Australia is racing ahead to become the nuclear waste dump of the world. This is no joking matter. We are to suppose that the most poisonous stuff in the known universe can be safely transported across the world to South Australia, without accident, incident or a terrorist attack, where it will sit on the surface until the South Australian government has enough money to bury it underground.

Supposedly no accident, incident or terrorism will occur - or act of God. Why, last night I crawled out of the shed to - don't you bother about that - and I saw a meteorite burn up. It was coming right at me and disappeared really close to the ground. In recent times meteorites have hit in Russia. Suppose a bigger one hits the surface dump of radioactive material and scatters radioactivity right across multiculturally diverse Australia? How will that promote the "agenda".

Jay Weatherill as a high school student, wiser than he is now as premier of South Australia, wrote a poem expressing anti-nuclear sentiment:

If this proposal is approved, Civilisation will be removed. A march to protect every colour and creed, But not to sponsor the capitalists agreed.

I agree with that entirely! Hence, me, fleas, dirt and all, will march, or in my case hobble, with socialists, students and the like to oppose making Australia radioactive. Sorry, no jokes today, I am just too angry. I hope you will join me.

Remember: the radioactivity will blow right across the continent to Sydney and Melbourne so this is not just a SA problem. We go, you all go.

\*\*\*

#### **ACTION TARGETS**

Use the valuable information in the TPP story P4 and the Political Correctness article on P6 as the basis for letters to Papers and your MP's.

# INTELLECTUAL OPPRESSION AND THE ANTI-ANTI-VAX ESTABLISHMENT by Mrs Vera West

It is good to see that the University of Wollongong has held its ground and refused to release the names of the PhD thesis examiners of Judy Wilyman's thesis about Australian vaccination policies, citing safety and privacy reasons.

Establishment academics, part of the vaccination paradigm, have wanted the thesis re-examined. They did not like the idea that vaccination policies arose by the pressure put on organisations such as the World Health Organisation by Big Pharma.

They wanted a re-examination of the thesis by experts in immunology and epidemiology to obviously get a fail.

But the general thesis line is sociology of knowledge and doesn't require that, any more than a sociological study of say physicists, requires an advanced degree in physics.

Political correctness dominates the Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences of the modern university, while dogmatic commitments to paradigms characterised the natural sciences

The universities are anything but places of free inquiry. (Source: *The Australian*, March 2, 2016, p.30) \*\*\*

THE LEAGUE'S BOOK SERVICES: — http://alor.org/

When ordering journals, 'On Target' and 'New Times Survey' – Please make Cheques/Money Orders payable to – 'ALOR Journals'

For educational books, videos and DVDs, etc. please make Cheques/Money Orders payable to -- Heritage Bookshop Services'

For donations to the League please make payments to-'Australian League of Rights' or 'ALOR'

Books are available at meetings or by mail order from the following addresses:

Victoria, Tasmania:

Heritage Bookshop,

Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queen Street,

Melbourne, 3000

(G.P.O. Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001).

Phone: (03) 9600 0677

South Australia:

Heritage Book Mailing Service, P.O. Box 27, Happy Valley, 5159 SA

Phone: (08) 7123 7131;

All Other States:

To either Victorian or South Australian addresses.

VERITASBOOKS ONLINE: http://veritasbooks.com.au/

Note: The views expressed in these articles are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official position or policy of the Australian League of Rights.